

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/676,545	FOX ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura Edwards	1734	

All Participants:

(1) Laura Edwards.

(2) Mark Lupkowski.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 October 2006

Time: PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(b) rejection of claims 1, 2, 10, 13, and 26

Claims discussed:

All in general

Prior art documents discussed:

Larkin- US 5,363,881

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Acknowledged noted allowable subject matter in the office action of as 4/6/06. However, under supervisor advisory, a rejection of claims 1, 2, 10, 13, and 26 under 102(b) would be required using the plumbing tool of Larkin. An Examiner's amendment would be required to place the application in better condition for allowance. Applicants noted the acceptance of the Examiner's amendment to pass the application to issue. See the attached Examiner's Amendment.